Missouri, the program serves more than 85,000 children and offers about 600 local agencies a chance to set up their own grants. It never needs to be reduced by the governor simply because it was the result of a political compromise during the fiscal year that began last July. The program would effectively cease moving forward before mid-year, and the governor would have to start again and say that it was a failure.

The Senate committee would also support a 15 percent budget cut in the second semester. That would mean only about $13 million less funding for next year’s Access Missouri program. The Senate panel agreed with the program’s supporters that public schools need extra money, but they do not agree with the governor’s plan to cut funding for next year’s spending at the state level. The Senate panel would support the program at $13 million, and it would continue to support that level as the fiscal year that begins July 1, 2010, approaches. The governor’s plan to cut $13 million from each $1 million in funding is a shortsighted strategy in the long run. The Senate panel would support a more equitable and higher education plan for the state. The Senate panel would support a 15 percent budget cut in the second semester, but the governor’s plan would reduce funding for next year’s spending at the state level by $13 million.
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